arXiv:1105.0596v2 [math.RA] 17 Nov 2011

MODULES OVER QUANTUM LAURENT POLYNOMIALS

ASHISH GUPTA

Abstract. It is shown that the Gelfand–Kirillov dimension for modules over quantum Laurent polynomials is additive with respect to tensor products over the base field. The Brookes–Groves invariant associated with a tensor product of modules is determined. Strongly holonomic modules are studied and it is shown that there can be nonholonomic simple modules.

1. Introduction

Let F be a field. Consider the associative F-algebra $P(\mathfrak{q})$ generated by $u_1, \cdots, u_n$ and their inverses such that

$$u_i u_j = q_{ij} u_j u_i \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}, \tag{1}$$

where q_{ij} are nonzero scalars in F and $\mathfrak{q} = (q_{ij})$. It is known by various names such as the *multiplicative analogue of the Weyl algebra*, the *quantum Laurent polynomial algebra* and the *quantum torus*. It has the structure of a twisted group algebra $F * A$ of a free abelian group A of rank n over F.

In the special case $n = 2$, (1) becomes $u_1u_2 = q_{12}u_2u_1$, where $q_{12} \in F - \{0\}$. This situation was first studied in [J] and [L] and it was shown that when q_{12} is not a root of unity in F, $P((q_{12}))$ shares certain curious properties with the first Weyl algebra $A_1(k)$ over a field k of characteristic zero.

The case of arbitrary n was first considered by J. C. McConnell and J. J. Pettit in [MP]. It was shown in [MP] that if the subgroup of the multiplicative group of F generated by the q_{ij} has the maximal possible torsion-free rank then $P(\mathfrak{q})$ is a simple noetherian hereditary domain.

The quantum Laurent polynomial algebras play a fundamental role in noncommutative geometry (see [M]). They also arise in the representation theory of torsion-free nilpotent groups as suitable localizations (see [B]).

In recent times there has been considerable interest in the theory of these algebras and their generalizations. The ring-theoretic properties of these rings have been studied in [AG], [A4] and [MP]. In [A1], [A2] and [A3], projective and simple modules over general quantum polynomial rings have been considered by V. A. Artamonov. In [BG1] and [BG2], C. J. B. Brookes and J. R. J. Groves have introduced a geometric invariant for $F * A$-modules modelled on the original Bieri–Strebel invariant (see [BS]).

The algebras $P(\mathfrak{q})$ are precisely the twisted group algebras $F * A$ of a free finitely generated abelian group A over F. In this paper we consider the structure of modules over the algebras $F * A$. We first review (Section 1.1) the basic properties of these algebras. We then give a brief exposition of the geometric invariant $\Delta(M)$ of Brookes and Groves associated with a finitely generated $F * A$-module M. Theorem A of Section 3 determines the Brookes–Groves invariant associated with a tensor product of modules. The Gelfand–Kirillov dimension (GK dimension) of a tensor product of modules is also determined.

Theorem A. *Let M_i be a finitely generated $F * A_i$-module, where $i = 1, 2$. Then for the finitely generated $F*(A_1 \oplus A_2)$-module $M_1 \otimes_F M_2$,*

$$\Delta(M_1 \otimes_F M_2) = p_1^*\Delta(M_1) + p_2^*\Delta(M_2),$$

where for $i = 1, 2$, $p_i^* : A_i^* \to (A_1 \oplus A_2)^*$ is the injection induced by the projection $p_i : A_1 \oplus A_2 \to A_i$. Furthermore,

$$\text{GK-dim}(M_1 \otimes_F M_2) = \text{GK-dim}(M_1) + \text{GK-dim}(M_2).$$

Section 4 is concerned with strongly holonomic modules. These are defined analogously to the holonomic $A_n(k)$-modules, where $A_n(k)$ denotes the n-th Weyl algebra over a field k of characteristic zero. An A_n-module N is called *holonomic* if $\text{GK-dim}(N) = \frac{1}{2}\,\text{GK-dim}(A_n)$. Holonomic A_n-modules form an important subclass of A_n-modules and possess some nice properties (see [Bj]). For the algebras $F * A$, $\text{GK-dim}(F * A) = \text{rank}(A)$ by [MP, Section 5.1]. We may thus call an $F * A$-module M holonomic if $\text{GK-dim}(M) = \frac{1}{2}\,\text{rank}(A)$. Such modules are encountered in group theory (see [BG4]) with the additional condition that M is torsion-free as $F * B$-module whenever B is a subgroup of A with $F * B$ commutative. The following theorem first shown in [BG4] is given a new proof in Section 4.

Theorem B. *Suppose that an algebra $F * A$ with center F and* $\text{rank}(A) = 2m$ *has a strongly holonomic module. Then for a finite index subgroup A' in A,*

$$F * A' = F * B_1 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F F * B_m,$$

where each $B_i \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $m = \frac{1}{2}\,\text{rank}(A)$.

In [MP, Section 6], the question whether an algebra $F * A$ that is simple can have simple modules with distinct GK dimensions was considered. It was shown that if $F * A$ has Krull (global) dimension one then each simple $F * A$-module has GK dimension equal to $\text{rank}(A) - 1$. In fact if an algebra $F * A$ has dimension m, where $1 \le m \le \text{rank}(A)$, the work of Brookes in [B] implies that the minimum possible GK dimension for a nonzero finitely generated $F * A$-module is $\text{rank}(A) - m$. The question then arises if the GK dimension of a simple $F * A$-module always equals this minimum as in the dimension one case.

We show in Section 5 that this need not be true in general.

Theorem C. *Suppose that $F * A$ has center exactly F and A has a subgroup B with A/B infinite cyclic such that $F * B$ is commutative. Then $F * A$ has a simple $F * B$-torsion-free module S with* $\text{GK-dim}(S) = n - 1$.

1.1. **Basic properties.** We will now discuss the basic properties of the algebra $P(\mathfrak{q})$ and its modules. It is easily seen that the monomials $u_1^{m_1} \cdots u_n^{m_n}$, where $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, constitute an F-basis of $P(\mathfrak{q})$. The monomial $u_1^{m_1} \cdots u_n^{m_n}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{m}}$, where $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We denote the set of nonzero elements of F by F^*. The facts in the next proposition were established in [MP, Section 1].

Proposition 1.1 (McConnell and Pettit)**.** *The following properties hold for the algebra $P(\mathfrak{q})$:*

(i) $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{m}}\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{m}'} = \prod_{j>i} q_{ji}^{m_j m_i'} \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{m}'}$,
(ii) $(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{m}})^{-1} = \mu(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{u}^{-\mathbf{m}}$, *where* $\mu(\mathbf{m}) = \prod_{j>i} q_{ji}^{m_j m_i}$,

(iii) *if* $\alpha \in P(\mathfrak{q})$ *then* α *is a unit if and only if* $\alpha = \lambda \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{m}}$ *for some nonzero* $\lambda \in F$*,*
(iv) *the group-theoretic commutator* $[\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{b}}] = \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}})^{-1}(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{b}})^{-1}$ *lies in* F^**,*
(v) *the derived subgroup of the group of units of* $P(\mathfrak{q})$ *coincides with the subgroup of* F^* *generated by the* q_{ij}*, where* $1 \le i, j \le n$*,*
(vii) $P(\mathfrak{q})$ *is simple if and only if it has center exactly* F*.*

An associative F-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a twisted group algebra $F * A$ of a finitely generated free abelian group A over the field F if

(i) there is an injective function $^- : A \to \mathcal{A}$, $a \mapsto \bar{a}$, such that $\overline{A} := \mathrm{Image}(^-)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{A}$ as an F-space,
(ii) the multiplication in $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2 = \tau(a_1, a_2)\overline{a_1 a_2} \quad \forall a_1, a_2 \in A, \tag{2}$$

where $\tau : A \times A \to F^*$ is a function satisfying:

$$\tau(a_1, a_2)\tau(a_1a_2, a_3) = \tau(a_2, a_3)\tau(a_1, a_2a_3) \quad \forall a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A. \tag{3}$$

Let A be a free abelian group with basis $\{a_1, \cdots, a_n\}$. Then there is an injection $A \to P(\mathfrak{q})$ defined by $\prod a_i^{m_i} \mapsto \prod u_1^{m_i}$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Condition (ii) above easily follows from (1). Finally, the associativity of $P(\mathfrak{q})$ implies (3). Hence $P(\mathfrak{q})$ is a twisted group algebra $F * A$.

We note that in an algebra $F * A$, the scalars are central so that

$$\lambda \bar{a} = \bar{a}\lambda \quad \forall \lambda \in F, a \in A.$$

In a *crossed product* $D * A$ (see [Pa2, Chapter 1]), where D is a division ring, the multiplication is defined as in (ii) above but an element $d \in D$ need not be central. In fact for all $a \in A$ and $d \in D$,

$$\bar{a}d = \sigma_a(d)\bar{a},$$

for an automorphism σ_a of D.

Given an algebra $F * A$, we may express $\alpha \in F * A$ uniquely as $\alpha = \sum_{a \in A} \kappa_a \bar{a}$, where $\kappa_a \in F$. The subset $\mathrm{Supp}(\alpha) := \{a \in A \mid \kappa_a \neq 0\}$ of A is finite and is called the *support of* α *in* A. For a subgroup B of A the subalgebra $\{\beta \in F * A \mid \mathrm{Supp}(\beta) \subseteq B\}$ of $F * A$ is a twisted group algebra $F * B$ of B over F.

It is known (see, for example, [Pa2, Lemma 37.8]) that if B is subgroup of A then $S_B = F * B \setminus \{0\}$ is an Ore subset in $F * A$. As a consequence the subset

$$T_{S_B}(M) := \{x \in M \mid x.s = 0 \text{ for some } s \in S_B\}$$

of M is an $F * A$-submodule of M. We say that M is S_B-torsion (or $F * B$-torsion) if $T_{S_B}(M) = M$ and S_B-torsion-free ($F * B$-torsion-free) if $T_{S_B}(M) = 0$. We note that the right Ore localization $(F * A)S_B^{-1}$ is a crossed product $D_B * A/B$, where D_B stands for the quotient division ring of $F * B$. We shall also write $(F * A)(F * B)^{-1}$ for $(F * A)S_B^{-1}$.

Note that if $a \in A$ then $\bar{a}$ is a unit of $F * A$. Without loss, we may assume that $\bar{1}$ is the identity of $F * A$. It easily follows from (2) that for $a_1, a_2 \in A$, the group-theoretic commutator $[\overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}] = \bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_1^{-1}\bar{a}_2^{-1} \in F$. Then the following equalities hold (see [Ro, Section 5.1.5]):

$$[\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_3] = [\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_3][\bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_3], \tag{4}$$

$$[\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3] = [\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2][\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_3]. \tag{5}$$

For a subset $X \subseteq A$, we define $\overline{X} = \{\bar{x} \mid x \in X\}$. Moreover, if $X_1, X_2 \subseteq A$, we define $[\overline{X_1}, \overline{X_2}] = \langle [\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2] \mid x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2 \rangle$. It is clear that $[\overline{X_1}, \overline{X_2}]$ is a subgroup of the multiplicative group F^*.

2. The Brookes–Groves geometric invariant

We shall now describe a geometric invariant which was introduced in [BG1] and [BG2]. It is defined for finitely generated modules over a crossed product $D * A$ of a finitely generated free abelian group A over a division ring D. Since a twisted group algebra $F * A$ is a special case of $D * A$, the definitions and theorems that follow apply to $F * A$-modules as well.

Let A be a finitely generated free abelian group and $A^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{R})$. Then A^* is an $\mathbb{R}$-space with $\dim(A^*) = \mathrm{rank}(A)$, where $\mathrm{rank}(A)$ is the cardinality of a basis of A. For a basis $\mathfrak{b} = \{b_i \mid i \in I\}$ of A we recall that there is a basis $\mathfrak{b}^* = \{b_i^* \mid i \in I\}$ dual to $\mathfrak{b}$ and this allows the construction of an isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathfrak{b}|} \to A^*$. We may thus speak of characters $\phi \in A^*$ as points. There is a $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear map $\langle -, - \rangle : A^* \times A \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(\phi, c) \mapsto \langle \phi, c \rangle = \phi(c) \quad \forall \phi \in A^*, c \in A.$$

Whenever $B \leq A$ is a subgroup,

$$\mathrm{ann}(B) := \{\phi \in A^* \mid \langle \phi, B \rangle = 0\}$$

is a subspace of A^* with

$$\dim(\mathrm{ann}(B)) = \mathrm{rank}(A) - \mathrm{rank}(B).$$

For a subspace $V \leq A^*$, we define $\mathrm{ann}(V)$ analogously as

$$\mathrm{ann}(V) = \{b \in A \mid \langle V, b \rangle = 0\}.$$

It is not difficult to show that $\mathrm{ann}(\mathrm{ann}(B)) = B$. For a point $\phi \in A^*$, we define

$$A_{\phi,0} = \{a \in A \mid \phi(a) \geq 0\},$$
$$A_{\phi,+} = \{a \in A \mid \phi(a) > 0\}.$$

Note that $A_{\phi,0}$ is a submonoid and $A_{\phi,+}$ a subsemigroup of A. In [BG2, Proposition 3.1] several equivalent definitions of the geometric invariant are given which are analogous to the commutative case (see [BS]). The following definition was used in [B].

Definition 2.1 (Brookes and Groves). *Let D be a division ring and A be a free finitely generated abelian group. Let M be a finitely generated $D * A$-module with a finite generating set $\mathcal{X}$. Then $\Delta(M)$ is defined as the subset*

$$\Delta(M) = \{\theta \in A^* \mid \mathcal{X}A_{\theta,0} > \mathcal{X}A_{\theta,+}\}$$

of A^*.

The above definition seems to depend on the choice of a generating set $\mathcal{X}$ for M but the subset $\Delta(M)$ so defined is actually independent of such a choice (see [B, Section 2]).

Definition 2.2 (Definition 2.1 of [BG3]). *Let M be a finitely generated $D*A$-module. For a point $\phi \in A^*$, the* trailing coefficient module $TC_\phi(M)$ *of M at ϕ is defined as $TC_\phi(M) = \mathcal{X}A_{\phi,0}/\mathcal{X}A_{\phi,+}$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is a (finite) generating set for M.*

Note that $TC_\phi(M)$ is a finitely generated $D*K$-module where $K = \ker\phi$. It is immediate from Definition 2.1 that $\phi \in \Delta(M)$ if and only if $TC_\phi(M) \neq 0$. In general, $TC_\phi(M)$ need not be independent of $\mathcal{X}$. A dimension for finitely generated $D*A$-modules was introduced in [BG2].

Definition 2.3 (Definition 2.1 of [BG2]). *Let M be a $D*A$-module. The dimension* $\dim(M)$ *of M is defined to be the greatest integer r, where $0 \leq r \leq \operatorname{rank}(A)$, so that for some subgroup B in A with rank r, M is not $D*B$-torsion.*

It was shown in [BG2] that $\dim(M)$ coincides with the Gelfand–Kirillov dimension (GK dimension) of M. We shall thus occasionally write GK-$\dim(M)$ for $\dim(M)$. The following useful fact was also shown in [BG2].

Proposition 2.4 (Lemma 2.2 of [BG2]). *Let*

$$0 \to M_1 \to M \to M_2 \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of $D*A$-modules. Then

$$\dim(M) = \sup\{\dim(M_1), \dim(M_2)\}.$$

As already noted we may identify A^* with $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Delta(M) \subseteq A^*$ is thus identified with a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. A subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a *polyhedron* when S is a finite union of *convex polyhedra*. A *convex polyhedron* is an intersection of finitely many closed half spaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$. A polyhedron is *rational* when each of the boundaries of the half spaces used to define it is rational, that is, when it is generated by rational linear combinations of the chosen dual basis. For a convex polyhedron $\mathcal{C}$, the dimension of $\mathcal{C}$ is the dimension of the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ spanned by $\mathcal{C}$. The dimension of a polyhedron is the greatest of the dimensions of its constituent convex polyhedra. In [BG2, Theorem 4.4], it was shown that an "essential" subset of $\Delta(M)$ is a polyhedron of dimension equal to the GK dimension of M. It was shown in [W1] that the Brookes–Groves invariant is polyhedral.

Theorem 2.5 (Theorem A of [W1]). *If $D*A$ is a crossed product of a division ring D by a free finitely generated abelian group A, then for all finitely generated $D*A$-modules M, $\Delta(M)$ is a closed rational polyhedral cone in* $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{R})$.

The next section gives an application of the geometric invariant to tensor products of $F*A$-modules.

3. The geometric invariant and tensor products

Given twisted group algebras $F*A_1$ and $F*A_2$, the tensor product

$$F*A_1 \otimes_F F*A_2$$

of F-algebras is a twisted group algebra of $A_1 \oplus A_2$ over F. Moreover, if M_1 and M_2 are modules over $F*A_1$ and $F*A_2$ respectively then $M_1 \otimes_F M_2$ becomes an $F*A_1 \otimes_F F*A_2$-module via

$$(m_1 \otimes m_2)(\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2) = m_1\bar{a}_1 \otimes m_2\bar{a}_2 \quad \forall m_1, m_2 \in M, a_1, a_2 \in A$$

We shall now determine the Brookes–Groves invariant associated with such a tensor product of modules.

Theorem A. *Let M_i be a finitely generated module over $F * A_i$, where $i = 1, 2$. Then for the finitely generated $F *(A_1 \oplus A_2)$-module $M_1 \otimes_F M_2$,*

$$\Delta(M_1 \otimes_F M_2) = p_1^*\Delta(M_1) + p_2^*\Delta(M_2), \tag{6}$$

where $p_i^* : A_i^* \to (A_1 \oplus A_2)^*$ is the injection induced by the projection $p_i : A_1 \oplus A_2 \to A_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Furthermore,

$$\text{GK-dim}(M_1 \otimes_F M_2) = \text{GK-dim}(M_1) + \text{GK-dim}(M_2). \tag{7}$$

Proof. Let $M := M_1 \otimes_F M_2$. We shall first show that

$$p_1^*\Delta(M_1) + p_2^*\Delta(M_2) \subseteq \Delta(M). \tag{8}$$

We shall utilize [BG2, Section 3, Definition 4] for the Δ-set of a module. This is as follows: for a finitely generated $F * A$-module L and a point $\phi \in A^*$ a nontrivial ϕ-filtration of L is a family of F-subspaces L_μ of L, where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, such that:

(C1) $L_\nu \geq L_\mu$, whenever $\nu \leq \mu$,
(C2) $\cup_{\mu\in\mathbb{R}} L_\mu = L$,
(C3) $L_\mu \bar{a} = L_{\mu+\phi(a)}$ for any $a \in A$,
(C4) for each $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, the subspace L_μ is a proper subspace of L.

Then $\Delta(M)$ is defined to be the set of all $\phi \in A^*$ for which there exists a nontrivial ϕ-filtration together with the zero of A^*. This definition is equivalent to Definition 2.1 (see [BG2, Proposition 3.1]).

Thus to show (8) it suffices to show that for $\phi_i \in \Delta(M_i)$ such that either ϕ_1 or ϕ_2 is nonzero, M has a nontrivial $\phi := \phi_1 p_1 + \phi_2 p_2$-filtration. Suppose, for the moment, that $\phi_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $\phi_i \in \Delta(M_i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq 2$, there exists a nontrivial ϕ_i-filtration $\{M_i^\mu\}_{\mu\in\mathbb{R}}$ of M_i. We now define a ϕ-filtration on M by setting

$$M_\lambda = \sum_{\mu+\nu=\lambda} M_1^\mu \otimes_F M_2^\nu, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall(\mu, \nu) \in \{\mathbb{R}^2 \mid \mu + \nu = \lambda\}$$

and verify the above conditions (C1) – (C4) as follows:

(C1) If $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ are real numbers and (μ_2, ν_2) is any real pair such that $\lambda_2 = \mu_2 + \nu_2$, then we can find a real pair (μ_1, ν_1) such that $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 + \nu_1$ and such that $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$, $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2$. But then $M_1^{\mu_1} \geq M_1^{\mu_2}$ and $M_2^{\nu_1} \geq M_2^{\nu_2}$, whence

$$M_1^{\mu_1} \otimes_F M_2^{\nu_1} \geq M_1^{\mu_2} \otimes_F M_2^{\nu_2},$$

which shows that $M_{\lambda_1} \geq M_{\lambda_2}$. Hence (C1) holds.

(C2) As the elements of M may be expressed as finite sums of the decomposable elements $x_1 \otimes x_2$, where $x_i \in M_i$, hence to see that $M = \bigcup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}} M_\lambda$ it is sufficient to show that $x_1 \otimes x_2 \in M_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. But the filtrations $\{M_1^\mu\}$ and $\{M_2^\nu\}$ guarantee the existence of real numbers μ and ν such that $x_1 \in M_1^\mu$ and $x_2 \in M_2^\nu$. But then $x_1 \otimes x_2 \in M_1^\mu \otimes_F M_2^\nu \subseteq M_{\mu+\nu}$.

(C3) To show (C3) we note that

$$\begin{aligned} M_\lambda(\overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}) &= \Big(\sum_{\mu+\nu=\lambda} M_1^\mu \otimes_F M_2^\nu \Big)(\overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}) \\ &= \sum_{\mu+\nu=\lambda} M_1^\mu \overline{a_1} \otimes_F M_2^\nu \overline{a_2} \\ &= \sum_{\mu+\nu=\lambda} M_1^{\mu+\phi_1(a_1)} \otimes_F M_2^{\nu+\phi_2(a_2)} \\ &= \sum_{\mu'+\nu'=\lambda+\phi((a_1,a_2))} M_1^{\mu'} \otimes_F M_2^{\nu'} \\ &= M_{\lambda+\phi((a_1,a_2))}. \end{aligned}$$

(C4) To show (C4) we suppose to the contrary that for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $M_\lambda = M$. This is equivalent to asserting that $M_0 = M$. We shall show that this results in a contradiction. By (C4) for the nontrivial ϕ_i filtration on M_i, where $i = 1, 2$, M_i/M_i^0 is a nonzero F-vector space. We fix an F-basis $\mathcal{B}_i^0$ of M_i^0, and an F-basis $\mathcal{B}_i$ of M_i such that $\mathcal{B}_i^0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_i$. Note that the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_i^0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_i$ must be strict since M_i/M_i^0 is nonzero. Pick $u_i \in \mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{B}_i^0$. Now $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 := \{v_1 \otimes v_2 \mid v_i \in B_i\}$ is an F-basis for $M = M_1 \otimes_F M_2$. Moreover, the element $(u_1 \otimes u_2)$ of $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2$ does not lie in the subset

$$\mathcal{B}' := (\mathcal{B}_1^0 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2) \cup (\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2^0),$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_1^0 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 := \{w \otimes v \mid w \in \mathcal{B}_1^0, v \in \mathcal{B}_2\}$$

and $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2^0$ is defined analogously. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis of M, $u_1 \otimes u_2$ is not contained in

$$M' := M_1^0 \otimes_F M_2 + M_1 \otimes_F M_2^0$$

which is the F-linear span of $\mathcal{B}'$, and *a fortiori*, $u_1 \otimes u_2$ is not in

$$M_0 = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} M_1^\mu \otimes M_2^{-\mu} = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} M_1^\nu \otimes M_2^{-\nu} + \sum_{\nu \leq 0} M_1^\nu \otimes M_2^{-\nu}.$$

Hence, $M_0 \neq M$.

We have thus exhibited a nontrivial ϕ-filtration of M and so $\phi \in \Delta(M)$. It follows that if $\phi \in \Delta(M_i) \setminus \{0\}$ then $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^2 \phi_i p_i$ is in $\Delta(M)$. The case when either ϕ_1 or ϕ_2 is zero is handled similarly.

We now show the reverse inclusion of (8). Let $\psi \in \Delta(M)$. For $i = 1, 2$, we define $\psi_i \in A_i^*$ by $\psi_i := \psi e_i$,where $e_i : A_i \to A_1 \oplus A_2$ is the injection of the biproduct. We shall show that $\psi_i \in \Delta(M_i)$. It then follows that

$$\psi = \psi_1 p_1 + \psi_2 p_2 \in \sum_{i=1}^2 \Delta(M_i) p_i.$$

Suppose that $\psi_1 \notin \Delta(M_1)$. Let $\mathcal{X}_1$ be a finite generating set for M_1. By [BG2, Proposition 3.1(v)], for each $y \in \mathcal{X}_1$ there is a nonzero $\alpha_y \in \operatorname{ann}_{F*A_1}(y)$, the annihilator of y in $F * A_1$, such that ψ_1 attains a unique minimum on the support $\operatorname{Supp}(\alpha_y)$ of α_y in A_1. Let $\mathcal{X}_2$ be a finite $F * A$-generating set for M_2. Then

$$\mathcal{X} := \{y \otimes z \mid y \in \mathcal{X}_1, z \in \mathcal{X}_2\}$$

generates M as an $F*(A_1 \oplus A_2)$-module. Denoting the image of $\alpha_y \in F * A_1$ in

$$F*(A_1 \oplus A_2) = F * A_1 \otimes_F F * A_2$$

by α'_y, we have

$$(y \otimes z)\alpha'_y = y\alpha_y \otimes z = 0 \otimes z = 0.$$

Furthermore, $\psi = \psi_1 p_1 + \psi_2 p_2$ has a unique minimum on $\mathrm{Supp}(\alpha'_y)$. But then $\psi \notin \Delta(M)$ by [BG2, Proposition 3.1(v)]. This contradiction shows that $\psi_1 \in \Delta(M_1)$. Similarly it can be shown that $\psi_2 \in \Delta(M_2)$. We have thus shown that (6) holds. Applying [BG2, Theorem 4.4] we obtain (7). ☐

4. Strongly holonomic modules

We shall now develop a proof of Theorem B. We shall first prove some lemmas that are used in the proof.

4.1. **Definitions and basic properties.**

Definition 4.1 (Definition 4.2 of [BG4])**.** *Let M be a finitely generated $F * A$-module where $F * A$ has center exactly F. Then M is* strongly holonomic *if*

$$\text{GK-dim}(M) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{rank}(A)$$

and for each commutative subalgebra $F * C$, where $C \leq A$, M is torsion-free as $F * C$-module.

Definition 4.2. *A nonzero $F * A$-module N is* critical *if N/L has GK dimension strictly smaller than that of N for each $0 < L < N$.*

The following proposition was first shown in [BG2].

Proposition 4.3. *Let M be a finitely generated nonzero $F * A$-module. Then M contains a critical submodule.*

Proof. Amongst the nonzero submodules of M, choose one, N say, of minimal possible GK dimension. If N is not critical it has a nonzero proper submodule N_1 with $\text{GK-dim}(N/N_1) = \text{GK-dim}(N)$. By the minimality of $\text{GK-dim}(N)$,

$$\text{GK-dim}(N_1) = \text{GK-dim}(N).$$

Applying the same argument to N_1 etc., we obtain a chain

$$N = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset N_2 \supset \cdots$$

with $\text{GK-dim}(N_i/N_{i+1}) = \text{GK-dim}(N)$ for each i. By [MP, Lemma 5.6], this chain must terminate. But this process halts only when it reaches a critical module. ☐

Proposition 4.4. *Let M be a strongly holonomic $F * A$-module, where $F * A$ has center F. Then M is cyclic and has finite length. Moreover each nonzero submodule of M is also strongly holonomic.*

Proof. We claim that if an algebra $F * A$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 4.4, then

$$\text{GK-dim}(V) \geq \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{rank}(A)$$

for each nonzero $F * A$-module V. Indeed, let V' be a nonzero $F * A$-module with $\text{GK-dim}(V') < \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{rank}(A)$. Then by [B, Theorem 3], there is a subgroup $C \leq A$ with $\mathrm{rank}(C) > \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{rank}(A)$ such that $F * C$ is commutative. By Definition 4.1, M must be torsion-free over $F * C$. Hence by Definition 2.3, GK-dim$(M) > \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{rank}(A)$. But this is contrary to the hypothesis in the proposition. Hence each nonzero subfactor of M has the same GK dimension as M. It now follows from [MP, Lemma 5.6] that a strictly descending sequence of submodules of M halts after a finite number of steps. Hence M has finite length. We also note that by Proposition 1.1(vii), $F * A$ is simple. It follows from [Ba, Corollary 1.5] that M is cyclic. □

4.2. **Carrier space subgroups.** We recall that for a finitely generated $D * A$-module M, $\Delta(M)$ is a finite union of convex polyhedra. A $D * A$-module is called *pure* when each nonzero submodule of M has GK dimension equal to that of M. It is not difficult to see, noting Proposition 2.4, that a critical module is pure. It was shown in [W2] that if M is *pure* then $\Delta(M)$ is a (finite) union of convex polyhedra each having dimension equal to the GK dimension of M. A subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of A^* is *rationally defined* if it can be generated by rational linear combinations of the elements of the chosen dual basis of A^*. A rational subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of A^* is uniquely expressed as $\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{ann}(B)$ for a subgroup B of A with A/B torsion-free.

Definition 4.5. *Let M be a finitely generated critical $D * A$-module with* GK-dim$(M) =$ *m. Associated with the rationally defined polyhedron $\Delta(M)$ there is a finite family of m-dimensional rationally defined subspaces of A^* which occur as linear spans of the convex polyhedra constituting $\Delta(M)$. These subspaces are called the* carrier spaces *of $\Delta(M)$.*

Definition 4.6. *A subgroup of A of the form* $\operatorname{ann}(\mathcal{V})$*, where $\mathcal{V}$ is a carrier space of $\Delta(M)$ and M a finitely generated critical $D * A$-module, is called a* carrier space subgroup *of $\Delta(M)$.*

We note that for a carrier space subgroup C of $\Delta(M)$,

$$\operatorname{rank}(C) = \operatorname{rank}(A) - \text{GK-dim}(M).$$

If C is a carrier space subgroup of $\Delta(M)$ then M cannot be finitely generated as $F * C$-module by [BG2, Proposition 3.8]. The following important property of carrier space subgroups was shown in [B] on which the proof of [[B], Theorem A] was based.

Lemma 4.7 (Proposition 4.1(2) of [BG4])**.** *Let M be a critical finitely generated $F * A$-module and $\mathcal{V}$ be a carrier space of $\Delta(M)$. Then $B := \operatorname{ann}(\mathcal{V})$ contains a subgroup B_1 of finite index such that $F * B_1$ is commutative.*

We recall that a subgroup $B \leq A$ is *isolated* in A if A/B is torsion-free.

Definition 4.8 ([BG3])**.** *Let M be a finitely generated $F * A$-module. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a rational subspace of A^* and B be the isolated subgroup of A such that $\mathcal{W} = \operatorname{ann}(B)$. A point $\phi \in \mathcal{W}$ is said to be* nongeneric *for $\mathcal{W}$ and M if $TC_\phi(M)$ is not $F * C$-torsion for some infinite cyclic subgroup $C \leq B$.*

The following fact was first shown in [BG4, Lemma 4.5]. The proof was based on a geometric characterization of nongeneric points in $\Delta(M)$.

Lemma 4.9. *Let M be a critical strongly holonomic $F * A$-module, where* $\operatorname{rank}(A) >$ *2. For each carrier space subgroup U of $\Delta(M)$, there is a subgroup W of A with rank equal to that of U such that $F * W$ is commutative and*

$$0 < \operatorname{rank}(U \cap W) < \operatorname{rank}(U).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, U has a subgroup U' of finite index such that $F*U'$ is commutative. By Definition 4.1, M is torsion-free over $F*U'$. We claim that M is $F*U$-torsion-free. Indeed, if the $F*U$-torsion submodule $t_U(M)$ of M is nonzero, we may pick a finitely generated nonzero $F*U$-submodule N of $t_U(M)$.

Clearly N is $F*U$-torsion and $F*U'$-torsion-free. In view of [BG2, Proposition 2.6], GK-dim$(N) < \mathrm{rank}(U)$. Moreover by Definition 2.3, GK-dim$(N) \geq \mathrm{rank}(U')$ since N is torsion-free as $F*U'$-module. We thus have a contradiction and so M must be $F*U$-torsion-free.

By [BG3, Corollary 3.7], $\mathcal{V} := \mathrm{ann}(U)$ contains a nonzero point ϕ which is nongeneric for $\mathcal{V}$ and M. By [BG3, Lemma 3.1], U has an infinite cyclic subgroup C such that $\phi_C \in \Delta(M \otimes_{F*A} (F*A)S^{-1})$, where ϕ_C is the character of $(A/C)^*$ induced by ϕ and $S = F*C \setminus \{0\}$. Note that $M_C := M \otimes_{F*A} (F*A)S^{-1}$ is an $(F*A)S^{-1}$-module and the latter a crossed product $D_C * A/C$, where D_C denotes the quotient division ring of $F*C$. By [BG3, Lemma 4.5(2)], M_c is critical.

Now ϕ_C lies in a (rationally defined) carrier space $\mathcal{V}_C := \mathrm{ann}(V/C)$ for some $V < A$. Set $K = \ker\phi$. Then $K/C = \ker\phi_C \geq V/C$ and so $V \leq K$. It was shown in [B, Section 2] that $D_C * V/C$ has a nonzero module that is finite dimensional as a D_c-space.

Note that

$$\text{GK-dim}(M_C) = \text{GK-dim}(M) - \mathrm{rank}(C)$$

in view of Definition 2.3. Since $\dim \mathcal{V}_C = \text{GK-dim}(M_C)$, hence

$$\mathrm{rank}(V/C) = \mathrm{rank}(A/C) - \text{GK-dim}(M_C) = \mathrm{rank}(A) - \text{GK-dim}(M) = m.$$

By [AG, Corollary 3.3], V contains a rank m subgroup W with $F*W$ commutative. Moreover W is constructed in [AG] so that $W \cap C = 1$, whence

$$\mathrm{rank}(U \cap W) < \mathrm{rank}(U).$$

As ϕ is nonzero, $\mathrm{rank}(K) \leq 2m-1$ and since $U, W \leq K$, hence $\mathrm{rank}(U\cap W) \geq 1$. $\square$

The next lemma is a generalization of [BG5, Lemma 4.4].

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that $F*A$ has a finitely generated module M and A has a subgroup C with A/C torsion-free,* rank(C) = GK-dim(M), *and $F*C$ commutative. Suppose moreover that M is not $F*C$-torsion. Then C has a virtual complement E in A such that $F*E$ is commutative. In fact given $\mathbb{Z}$-bases $\{x_1, \cdots, x_r\}$ and $\{x_1, \cdots, x_r, x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_n\}$ for C and A respectively there exist monomials μ_j, where $j = r+1, \cdots n$, in $F*C$, and an integer $s > 0$ such that the monomials $\mu_j \bar{x}_j^s$ commute in $F*A$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{x}_i\bar{x}_j = q_{ij}\bar{x}_j\bar{x}_i$, where $i, j = 1, \cdots, n$ and $q_{ij} \in F^*$. We set $S = F*C \setminus \{0\}$ and denote the quotient field $(F*C)S^{-1}$ by F_S. Then $(F*A)S^{-1}$ is a crossed product

$$R = F_S * \langle x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_n\rangle.$$

The corresponding module of fractions MS^{-1} is nonzero as M is not S-torsion by the hypothesis. By the hypothesis, GK-dim$(M) = \mathrm{rank}(C)$ and so in view of [BG2, Lemma 2.3], MS^{-1} is finite dimensional as an F_S-space. It is shown in [AG, section 3] that if R has a module that is one dimensional over F_S then there exist monomials $\mu_i \in F[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \cdots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ such that the monomials $\mu_i x_i$, where $r+1 \leq i \leq n$, mutually commute. Thus we may take $E = \langle \mu_{r+1}x_{r+1}, \cdots, \mu_n x_n\rangle$ in this case. But as observed in the remark following [AG, Corollary 3.3], the

s-fold exterior power $\wedge^s(MS_{F_S}^{-1})$, where $s = \dim_{F_S} MS^{-1}$, is a one dimensional module over $R' := F_S *^s \langle x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_n\rangle$ with the 2-cocycle being the s-th power of the 2-cocycle of R. Thus for R',

$$q'_{ij} = \begin{cases} q_{ij} & \forall i \in \{1, \cdots, r\}, j \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \\ q_{ij}^s & \forall i, j \in \{r+1, \ldots, n\} \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

By [AG, Proposition 3.2], the monomials $\mu_j x_j$ commute in R', that is,

$$1 = [\overline{\mu_k x_k}, \overline{\mu_l x_l}] = [\overline{\mu_k}, \overline{x_l}][\overline{x_k}, \overline{\mu_l}][\overline{x_k}, \overline{x_l}] \quad \forall k, l \in \{r+1, \cdots, n\}.$$

In view of (9), $[\overline{\mu_k}, \overline{x_l}]$ and $[\overline{x_k}, \overline{\mu_l}]$ are the same in R and R' but $[\overline{x_k}, \overline{x_l}]$ is its s-th power in R'. It easily follows from this that the elements $\{\mu_j x_j^s\}_{j=r+1}^n$ commute in R. □

The final lemma of this section is somewhat technical and is used in the proof of Theorem B.

Lemma 4.11. *Suppose that $F * A$ has a strongly holonomic module. If* $\operatorname{rank}(A) = 2m$*, where $m > 1$, then A has nontrivial subgroups B_i, where $i = 1, \cdots, 4$, such that $F * B_i$ is commutative and which satisfy the following conditions:*

$$[\overline{B_1}, \overline{B_2}] = [\overline{B_2}, \overline{B_3}] = [\overline{B_3}, \overline{B_4}] = 1,$$
$$B_1 \cap B_2 = B_3 \cap B_4 = B_1B_2 \cap B_3B_4 = 1,$$
$$\operatorname{rank}(B_1) + \operatorname{rank}(B_2) = \operatorname{rank}(B_2) + \operatorname{rank}(B_3) = \operatorname{rank}(B_3) + \operatorname{rank}(B_4) = m.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.4, M contains a simple submodule which is also strongly holonomic. Hence we may assume M is simple.

Let U be a carrier space subgroup of $\Delta(M)$. As shown in the first paragraph of the proof of Lemma 4.9, M is torsion-free as $F * U$-module. Moreover as noted above $\operatorname{rank}(U) = m = \text{GK-dim}(M)$. Let V be a (virtual) complement to U in A as given by Lemma 4.10 such that $F * V$ is commutative. By Lemma 4.7 there is a finite index subgroup $U_0 \leq U$ so that $F * U_0$ is commutative. But then $A_0 := U_0V$ has finite index in A. In particular, M may be regarded as a finitely generated $F * A_0$-module M_0. By [BG2, Lemma 2.7], $\text{GK-dim}(M_0) = \text{GK-dim}(M)$ and it follows that M_0 is a strongly holonomic $F * A_0$-module. For this reason we will assume that $A = UV$ with $F * U$ and $F * V$ commutative.

By Lemma 4.9, there is also a subgroup W with $\operatorname{rank}(W) = \operatorname{rank}(U) = m$ which intersects nontrivially with U. Set $B_2 := U \cap W$ and pick a subgroup B_1 in U maximal with respect to $B_1 \cap B_2 = 1$. Let $p_V : A = U \oplus V \to V$ be the projection and p'_V its restriction to W. Then $\ker p'_V = B_2$ and so

$$\operatorname{rank}(p'_V(W)) + \operatorname{rank}(B_2) = \operatorname{rank}(W) = m.$$

Set $B_3 := p'_V(W)$ and let $B_4 \leq V$ be a subgroup maximal with respect to

$$B_3 \cap B_4 = 1.$$

As $B_1, B_2 \leq U$ and $F * U$ is commutative, hence $[\overline{B_1}, \overline{B_2}] = 1$ and similarly we can show that $[\overline{B_3}, \overline{B_4}] = 1$. We claim that $[\overline{B_2}, \overline{B_3}] = 1$. Indeed, let $u_2 \in B_2 = U \cap W$ and $v_3 \in B_3$. As $B_3 = p'_V(W)$, hence $uv_3 \in W$ for some $u \in U$. Since $F * W$ is commutative, hence

$$1 = [\bar{u}\bar{v}_3, \bar{u}_2] = [\bar{u}, \bar{u}_2][\bar{v}_3, \bar{u}_2].$$

Moreover, as $F * U$ is commutative, hence $[\bar{u}, \bar{u}_2] = 1$ and so $[\bar{v}_3, \bar{u}_2] = 1$. □

We shall now give a proof of Theorem B.

Theorem B. *Suppose that an algebra* $F * A$ *with center* F *and* $\operatorname{rank}(A) = 2m$ *has a strongly holonomic module. Then for a finite index subgroup* A' *in* A,

$$F * A' = F * B_1 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F F * B_m,$$

where each $B_i \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $m = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}(A)$.

Proof. We shall use the notation

$$F * A \overset{\text{vir}}{=} F * A_1 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F F * A_k$$

to express that A has a subgroup A' of finite index such that

$$F * A' = F * A_1 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F F * A_k.$$

We shall prove the theorem using induction. As there is nothing to be proved for $\operatorname{rank}(A) = 2$, we assume that $\operatorname{rank}(A) = 2m$, where $m > 1$. We also assume that the theorem holds for all smaller values of m and for all fields F.

Let B_j, where $j = 1, \cdots, 4$, be as in Lemma 4.11 and set $B := \prod_{i=1}^{4} B_i$. By the same lemma, $F * B_2 B_3$ is commutative and

$$\operatorname{rank}(B_2 B_3) = m = \text{GK-dim}(M).$$

We fix bases in the subgroups B_j, where $j = 1, \cdots, 4$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &:= \langle u_{k+1}, \cdots, u_m \rangle, \\ B_2 &:= \langle u_1, \cdots, u_k \rangle, \\ B_3 &:= \langle w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_m \rangle, \\ B_4 &:= \langle w_1, \cdots, w_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.10 (with $C = B_2 B_3$), there are monomials $\mu_j \in F * B_2$ and $\nu_j \in F * B_3$, where $j = 1, \cdots m$, such that the monomials in

$$\{\mu_i \nu_i \bar{w}_i^s\}_{i=1,\cdots,k} \ \cup \ \{\mu_j \nu_j \bar{u}_j^s\}_{j=k+1,\cdots,m} \tag{10}$$

commute mutually for some $s > 0$. Set

$$\bar{w}_i' = \nu_i \bar{w}_i^s, \quad \bar{u}_j' = \mu_j \bar{u}_j^s \quad \forall i \in \{1, \cdots, k\}, j \in \{k+1, \cdots, m\}. \tag{11}$$

As just noted in (10),

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= [\mu_i \nu_i \overline{w_i}^s, \mu_j \nu_j \overline{u_j}^s] \\ &= [\mu_i, \mu_j \nu_j \overline{u_j}^s][\nu_i \overline{w_i}^s, \mu_j \nu_j \overline{u_j}^s] \\ &= [\mu_i, \mu_j \nu_j][\mu_i, \overline{u_j}^s][\nu_i \overline{w_i}^s, \mu_j \nu_j \overline{u_j}^s]. \end{aligned}$$

But $[\mu_i, \mu_j \nu_j] = 1$ since $F * B_2 B_3$ is commutative and $[\mu_i, \overline{u_j}^s] = 1$ because by Lemma 4.11, $[\overline{B_1}, \overline{B_2}] = 1$. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= [\nu_i \ \overline{w_i}^s, \mu_j \nu_j \overline{u_j}^s] \\ &= [\nu_i \ \overline{w_i}^s, \mu_j \overline{u_j}^s][\nu_i \overline{w_i}^s, \nu_j] \\ &= [\nu_i \overline{w_i}^s, \mu_j \overline{u_j}^s][\nu_i, \nu_j][\overline{w_i}^s, \nu_j]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.11, $F * B_3$ is commutative and $[\overline{B_3}, \overline{B_4}] = 1$. It follows that

$$[\nu_i, \nu_j] = [\overline{w_i}^s, \nu_j] = 1.$$

Hence in view of (11),

$$[\overline{w_i'}, \overline{u_j'}] = 1. \tag{12}$$

Setting $B_1' := \langle u_{k+1}', \cdots, u_m' \rangle$ and $B_4' := \langle w_1', \cdots, W_k' \rangle$, we have

$$[\overline{B_1'}, \overline{B_4'}] = \langle 1 \rangle,$$

which in view of Lemma 4.11 gives

$$[\overline{B_1' B_3}, \overline{B_2 B_4'}] = \langle 1 \rangle. \tag{13}$$

Hence

$$F * B = F * B_1' B_3 \otimes_F F * B_2 B_4'. \tag{14}$$

By the hypothesis in the theorem, $F * A$ has center exactly F, hence in view of (13), $F * B_1' B_2 B_3$ has center exactly $F * B_2$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C} := F * B_1' B_2$ is commutative and M is thus torsion-free over $\mathcal{C}$. Hence for a finitely generated critical $F * B_1' B_2 B_3$-submodule N of M, GK-dim$(N) = m$. Localizing $F * B_1' B_2 B_3$ at $F * B_2 \setminus \{0\}$ we obtain $F' * B_1' B_3$, where F' is the quotient field of the integral domain $F * B_2$.

We claim that $M' := M(F * B_2)^{-1}$ is a strongly holonomic $F' * B_1' B_3$-module. Indeed, in view of [BG3, Lemma 4.5(2)],

$$\text{GK-dim}(M') = \text{GK-dim}(M) - k = m - k = \frac{1}{2}\,\text{rank}(B_1' B_3),$$

and M' is $F' * C$-torsion-free, whenever $F' * C$ is commutative (see [BG4, Lemma 4.3]). We note that the 2-cocycle of $F' * B_1' B_3$ is the restriction of the 2-cocycle of $F * B_1' B_2 B_3$ to $B_1' B_3$. Then the induction hypothesis yields:

$$F * B_1' B_3 \overset{\text{vir}}{=} F * C_1 \otimes_F F * C_2 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes F * C_{m-k}. \tag{15}$$

By parallel reasoning applied to $F * B_2 B_3 B_4'$ (which has center $F * B_3$), we obtain:

$$F * B_2 B_4' \overset{\text{vir}}{=} F * E_1 \otimes_F F * E_2 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F F * E_k. \tag{16}$$

Combining (14), (15) and (16) shows the assertion in the theorem. □

5. Nonholonomic simple modules

We now consider the problem of the GK dimensions of simple modules over the algebras $F * A$. In particular, we wish to show there can be simple $F * A$-modules with distinct GK dimensions. We shall accomplish this by embedding $F * A$ in a principal ideal domain (PID). Given an algebra $F * A$, let B be a subgroup of A with A/B infinite cyclic. The localization $F * A(F * B)^{-1}$ is a crossed product $D * A/B$, where D denotes the quotient division ring $F * B(F * B)^{-1}$. Moreover, if $A/B = \langle uB \rangle$ then $D * A/B$ is a skew-Laurent extension $D[\bar{u}^{\pm 1}, \sigma]$, where $\sigma(d) = \bar{u} d \bar{u}^{-1}$ for all $d \in D$.

Definition 5.1. *Let R be a PID. An element $r \in R$ is* irreducible *when $r = st$, where $s, t \in R$, implies that either s or t is a unit in R.*

Theorem C in section 1 immediately follows from the next proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that $F*A$ has center exactly F and A has a subgroup B with $F*B$ commutative and with A/B infinite cyclic. Then $F*A$ has a simple module S_1 with* GK-dim$(S_1) = 1$. *Furthermore, let $A/B = \langle uB \rangle$ and R be the right Ore localization $R := F*A(F*B)^{-1}$. Let r be an irreducible element in the PID R. If $\mathcal{J} := F*A \cap rR$ contains a nonzero element γ such that in the (unique) expression*

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=s}^{t} \beta_i \bar{u}^i, \tag{17}$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\beta_i \in F*B$ and β_s and β_t are units in $F*B$, then $S_2 := F*A/\mathcal{J}$ is a simple $F*B$-torsion-free module with GK-dim$(S_2) = n-1$.

We shall first show the following lemma which is used in the proof of Proposition 5.2.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $F*A$ and $F*B$ be as in Proposition 5.2. Then any nonzero finitely generated $F*A$-module M which is finitely generated as a $F*B$-module is $F*B$-torsion-free.*

Proof. Let rank$(A) = t$. Suppose to the contrary that the $F*B$-torsion submodule T of M is nonzero. Since $F*B \setminus \{0\}$ is a right Ore subset in $F*A$, therefore T is an $F*A$-submodule of M. Since $F*B$ is noetherian, the hypothesis in the lemma that M is finitely generated as $F*B$-module implies that T is finitely generated as $F*B$-module.

It follows by [BG2, Lemma 2.7] that the GK dimension of T as $F*A$-module equals that as $F*B$-module. But T is by definition $F*B$-torsion and so

$$\text{GK-dim}(T) < \text{rank}(B) = t-1$$

by Definition 2.3 and [BG2, Proposition 2.6].

We shall assume for clarity that GK-dim$(T) = t-2$ for our reasoning below is equally valid for all possibilities of GK-dim$(T) < t-1$. By Definition 2.3, there is a subgroup $C \le B$ with rank $t-2$ so that T is not $F*C$-torsion and in view of [BG2, Lemma 2.6], C may be picked so that B/C is infinite cyclic. We pick a basis $\{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{t-2}\}$ in C. Since $B/C \cong \mathbb{Z}$, this can be extended to a basis $\{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{t-2}, v_{t-1}\}$ of B. By Lemma 4.10, there are monomials $\mu_{t-1}, \mu_t \in F*C$ and an integer $s > 0$ so that

$$[\mu_{t-1}\bar{v}_{t-1}^s, \mu_t \bar{u}^s] = 1.$$

Hence in view of (4),

$$[\mu_{t-1}\bar{v}_{t-1}^s, \mu_t][\mu_{t-1}\bar{v}_{t-1}^s, \bar{u}^s] = 1. \tag{18}$$

By the hypothesis in the lemma $F*B$ is commutative and hence $[\mu_{t-1}\bar{v}_{t-1}^s, \mu_t] = 1$. Thus by (18) we get noting (4) – (5) that

$$1 = [\mu_{t-1}\bar{v}_{t-1}^s, \bar{u}^s] = [\mu_{t-1}^s \bar{v}_{t-1}^{s^2}, \bar{u}]. \tag{19}$$

But (19) implies that the nontrivial monomial $\mu_{t-1}^s \bar{v}_{t-1}^{s^2}$ is central in $F*A$ contrary to the assumption in the lemma that $F*A$ has center F.

□

Proof of Proposition 5.2. We shall first show that S_2 is simple with GK-dim$(S_2) = n-1$. As noted above, R is a PID. Thus if r is an irreducible in R then rR is a maximal right ideal in R.

By [BVO, Lemma 3.3], $\mathcal{J} = F * A \cap rR$ is a maximal right ideal of $F * A$ if and only if for each $\beta \in F * B \setminus \{0\}$,

$$F * A = \beta F * A + \mathcal{J}. \tag{20}$$

We shall show that $S_2 = F * A/\mathcal{J}$ is simple by showing that the equality (20) is satisfied. Indeed, by the hypothesis in the theorem, $\mathcal{J}$ contains a nonzero element γ of the form (17) and so by [A3, Proposition 2.1], $S_2 = F * A/\mathcal{J}$ is a finitely generated $F * B$-module. Setting $\mathcal{J}_\beta := \beta(F * A) + \mathcal{J}$, for $\beta \in F * B \setminus \{0\}$, it follows that $M_\beta := F * A/\mathcal{J}_\beta$ is a finitely generated $F * B$-module. We note that if $M_\beta \neq 0$, it has a nonzero element m, namely the coset $1 + \mathcal{J}_\beta$, such that $m\beta = 0$ for the nonzero $\beta \in F * B$. But this is a contradiction in view of Lemma 5.3. Hence $M_\beta = 0$ and it follows that (20) is satisfied and thus S_2 is simple. As already noted above S_2 is finitely generated as an $F * B$-module and so by Lemma 5.3 is $F * B$-torsion-free. Hence by Definition 2.3, GK-dim$(S_2) \geq n-1$. But GK-dim$(S_2) = n$ is impossible for it implies, noting Definition 2.3, that $S_2 \cong F * A$ and it is easily seen that $F * A$ is not a simple $F * A$-module.

It remains to show that $F * A$ has a simple module S_1 with GK-dim$(S_1) = 1$. By [B, Section 2], $F * A$ has a finitely generated module T_1 with GK-dim$(T_1) = 1$. We claim that if N is a finitely generated $F * A$-module with GK-dim$(N) = 0$ then $N = 0$. Indeed, if $N \neq 0$ then by [B, Theorem 3], A has a subgroup A' with $[A : A'] < \infty$ such that $F * A'$ is commutative. It is easily seen, noting (4) – (5), that in this case $F * A$ has center larger than F contrary to the hypothesis in Proposition 5.2.

By a reasoning parallel to that in the proof of Proposition 4.4, it follows that T_1 has finite length and so contains a simple submodule S_1 with GK-dim$(S_1) = 1$. □

Example 5.4. *Let $t > 0$ be an integer and $K = \mathbb{Q}[u_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, u_t^{\pm 1}]$ be the ordinary Laurent polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Q}$ in the t variables $u_1, \cdots, u_n$. Let $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_t$ be distinct primes in $\mathbb{Z}$. The skew-Laurent extension $T = K[u^{\pm 1}, \sigma]$, where σ is the automorphism of K defined by $\sigma(u_i) = p_i u_i$, is a quantum Laurent polynomial algebra that satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 5.2. Furthermore, let $K^* := K - \{0\}$ and R be the right Ore localization of T at K^*. Let $r \in R$ be an irreducible element of the form*

$$r = u^k + f_1 u^{k-1} + \cdots + f_{k-1} u + g,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, f_1, \cdots, f_{k-1}, g \in K$. and g is a monomial. Clearly, r satisfies (17). By Proposition 5.2, $T/T \cap rR$ is a simple T-module which is torsion-free over K.

This paper is mainly extracted from the author's thesis and I wish to express my sincerest gratitude to Dr. J. R. J. Groves for his supervision and to the University of Melbourne for financial support.

References

[AG] E. Aljadeff and Y. Ginosar, 'On the global dimension of multiplicative Weyl algebra', *Arch. Math.* **62** (1994), 401–407.

[A1] V. A. Artamonov, 'Projective modules over quantum algebras of polynomials', *Sb. Math.* **82** (1995), 261–269.

[A2] V. A. Artamonov, 'The quantum Serre problem', *Russian Math. Surveys* **53** (1998), 657–730.

[A3] V. A. Artamonov, ‘General quantum polynomials: irreducible modules and Morita equivalence’, *Izv. Math.* **63** (1999), 3–36.

[A4] V. A. Artamonov, ‘Quantum polynomial algebras’, *J. Math. Sci.* **87** (1997), 3441–3462.

[Ba] V. V. Bavula, ‘Module structure of the tensor product of simple algebras of Krull dimension 1’, *An. Stiint. Univ. Ovidius Constanta Ser. Mat.* **4** (1996), 7–21.

[BVO] V. Bavula and F. van Oystaeyen, ‘Simple holonomic modules over the second Weyl algebra A_2’, *Adv. Math.* **150** (2000), 80–116.

[B] C. J. B. Brookes, ‘Crossed products and finitely presented groups’, *J. Group Theory* **3** (2000), 433–444.

[BG1] C. J. B. Brookes and J. R. J. Groves, ‘Modules over nilpotent group rings’, *J. London Math. Soc.* **52** (1995), 467–481.

[BG2] C. J. B. Brookes and J. R. J. Groves, ‘Modules over crossed products of a division ring with an abelian group I’, *J. Algebra* **229** (2000), 25–54.

[BG3] C. J. B. Brookes and J. R. J. Groves, ‘Modules over crossed products of a division ring with an abelian group II’, *J. Algebra* **253** (2002), 417–445.

[BG4] C. J. B. Brookes and J. R. J. Groves, ‘Representations of the quantum torus and applications to finitely presented groups’, Preprint, arXiv:0711.2466v2 [math.RT].

[BS] R. Bieri and R. Strebel, ‘Valuations and finitely presented metabelian groups’, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **41** (1980), 439–464.

[Bj] J. E. Bjork, *Rings of Differential Operators* (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1979).

[J] V. A. Jategaonkar, ‘A multiplicative analogue of the Weyl algebra’, *Comm. Algebra* **12** (1984), 1669–1688.

[L] M. Lorenz, *Group Rings and Division Rings*, *Methods in Ring Theory*, 265-280 (D. Reidel Publ. Co., Dordrecht, 1984).

[M] Yu. Manin, *Topics in Noncommutative Geometry* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1991).

[MP] J. C. McConnell and J. J. Pettit, ‘Crossed products and multiplicative analogues of Weyl Algebras’, *J. London Math. Soc.* **38** (1988), 47–55.

[Pa1] D. S. Passman, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings* (Wiley, New York, 1977).

[Pa2] D. S. Passman, *Infinite Crossed Products* (Academic Press, Boston, 1989).

[Ro] D. J. S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996).

[W1] S. J. Wadsley, ‘Polyhedrality of the Brookes–Groves invariant for the noncommutative torus’, *J. Algebra* **293** (2005), 543–560.

[W2] S. J. Wadsley,‘Homogeneity and rigidity of the Brookes–Groves invariant for the noncommutative torus’, *J. Algebra* **297** (2006), 417–437.